A SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS OF WOLE SOYINKA'S "*THE LION AND THE JEWEL*"

BY

Abba Terab Mustapha, PhD
Department of English and Literary Studies,
University of Maiduguri,
E-mail: abbaterabmustapha@gmail.com

Anna Dauda Bwala,
Department of Language,
Federal Government College,
E-mail: annabwala@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper carried out Systemic Functional Linguistic Analysis of Wole Soyinka's The Lion and the Jewel. Halliday's (1994) Meta functions of language are used as bases for the analysis of the data. The data are the dialogues selected from the play. The data is presented and analyzed according to the three Meta functions. It reveals how Lakunle's use of register portrays him as a voice of modernity and his alienation from the community. It also reveals that Baroka is the custodian of tradition and a master of manipulation. Furthermore, it reveals that Sidi's role in the play shifts. Additionally, it reveals how language shapes social reality, reflects power dynamics and negotiates meaning in a postcolonial context. It concludes that the analysis deepens our understanding of Soyinka's play. It also concludes that Meta functions remain a powerful analytic model indicating how language encodes social, cultural and ideological meanings in literary texts.

Introduction

This study carries out a Systematic Functional Linguistic Analysis of Wole Soyinka's *The Lion and the Jewel*. It is prompted by the need to analyze the play, which is thought provoking that explores the intersection of modernization and traditional ways of life. It is a comedy that captures the cultural encounter between indigenous values and Western influences through the characters of Baroka (the Lion), Sidi (the Jewel) and Lakunle (the school teacher). Soyinka's language in the play is not only artistic but functional. It encodes social roles, cultural ideologies and interpersonal relationships. It is stylistically rich, combing songs, dance, mime and dialogue to explore identity, power and resilience of tradition. It is a commentary on cultural values and ideologies embedded in characters' speech with implications for both linguistic and socio-cultural understanding.

SFL developed by M.A.K Halliday provides a useful framework for analyzing Soyinka's play. SFL explains how every linguistic choice performs three Meta functions, simultaneously. The ideational function represents experience and reality, the interpersonal expresses attitudes and builds relationship and the textual function is for organizing discourse. Applying these Meta functions to analysis of the play makes it possible to uncover how Soyinka constructs meaning, encodes power dynamics and conveys cultural ideologies through dialogue, imagery and structure. Also, by applying SFL to the analysis of the play, this study seeks to demonstrate that Soyinka's dramatic language is purposeful, functional and has cultural significance.

Theoretical Framework

This study is based on Halliday's SFL which sees language as a resource for creating meaning through choices that serve multiple purposes. These purposes are categorized into Meta functions highlighting the dynamic and functional nature of language. Thus, the framework demonstrates how meaning is constructed across the three Meta functions-the ideational, interpersonal and textual Meta functions. The ideational Meta function represents experience and reality through structures, enabling speakers to view their world and to express thoughts (Halliday, 1994). The interpersonal Meta function explores how language shapes social roles and relationships with mood, modernity and evaluative expressions influencing interactions and power dynamics (Eggins, 2004). The textual Meta function illustrates how language is organized to produce cohesion and emphasis in dialogue. This approach highlights the dynamic interplay between language meaning and society, central to Soyinka's work and literary analysis. It is used for analyzing drama as it describes how language functions contextually, conveying meaning, building relationships and driving performance.

Literature Review

Halliday's 1994 model, Halliday and Mattiessen, 2004) offers a framework for analyzing how characters use language to enact relationships, negotiate power and present cultural realities. Halliday and Mattiessen (2014) believe that SFL is a meaning-based language as the realization of meaning in context. It is a discourse analytical approach to language teaching and a framework for implementing

pedagogy in the classroom. SFL is distinctive from other linguistic theories because Halliday and other SFL theorists worked in response to issues in applied context.

Ansary and Babail (2004) opine that SFL does not only provide a detailed description of the rhetorical functions and linguistic structures of English but goes further to elate the contextual dimension of register/genre to the semantic and grammatical organization of language itself. It has the potential to develop detailed specifications of the staging structures and realization features of difficult genres.

One of the key scholars who expanded the practical applicability of Halliday's model is Eggins (2004). In *An Introduction to Systematic Functional Linguistics*, Eggins provides detailed analytical tools for applying SFL to real text, showing how features such as transitivity, mood and theme reveal underlying communicative intentions and ideological positions. She demonstrates that meaning is always situated and therefore textual choices cannot be divorced from context. For this reason, SFL has become widely used in stylistics, discourse analysis and pedagogy. In literary texts, for example, analyzing how author's manipulation of process types, participant roles or thematic progression can uncover hidden power structures, cultural assumptions and character dynamics.

Bloor and Bloor (2013) further reinforce the application of SFL by demonstrating how it can be used for functional analysis of a wide range of texts. They stress that SFL is concerned with the meaning potential of language. Grammar is seen not as a set of rules to be memorized but as a resource for making choices in the construction of meaning. This conceptual shift is critical because it highlights the interpretative potential of SFL. Analysis is not about whether a text is grammatically "correct" but about what choices reveal meaning. Moreover, Bloor and Bloor observe that, SFL provides tool for analyzing texts of all kinds, enabling us to see how language is structured to achieve different contexts. For a play like Soyinka's *The Lion and the Jewel*, this implies that transitivity patterns, mood structures and thematic organization can be studied to reveal how characters express authority, resistance or complicity.

In the Nigerian context, Adejere (1992) demonstrates the relevance of functional linguistic approaches to the study of African Literary and Cultural texts. He argues that African writers often manipulate English stylistically to capture indigenous world views and oral aesthetics and that functional grammar offers a framework

for analyzing such stylistic innovation. Similarly, Olateju (2006) applies functional analysis to literary discourse showing how Halliday's Meta functions illuminate character dynamics, thematic development and ideological framing in African literature. SFL is particularly well-suited for analyzing African literature where meaning is intricately linked to societal relations, making it an effective framework for unpacking the complexities of language use in texts.

Applied to Soyinka's *The Lion and the* Jewel, SFL offers a way of linking language choices to the cultural and ideological conflicts dramatized in the play. The ideational Meta function can be applied through transitivity analysis to examine how characters like Baroka and Lakunle are constructed in terms of agency and action. The interpersonal Meta function highlights how power and authority are enacted through mood, modality and speech role, while the textual Meta function explains how thematic choices foreground tradition versus modernity.

On the whole, the literatures confirms that SFL is a versatile framework for text analysis, with foundational theory by Halliday (1994), analytical tools operationalized by Eggins (2004) and critical applications emphasized by Bloor and Bloor (2013). Nigerians scholars like Adejare (1992) and Olateju (2006) demonstrate its value in African literary contexts. This study establishes that applying SFL to Soyinka's *The Lion and the Jewel* offers valuable insights into stylistic, pedagogy and critical discourse analysis.

Methodology

This study uses SFL to analyze Wole Soyinka's *The Lion and the Jewel*, showing that the playwright deliberately choices language, constructs meaning, negotiates relationships and organizes the narrative through a qualitative textual analysis approach.

The data are the dialogues selected from the play. Passages were selected to reflect communicative events in the text, such as negotiations of tradition versus modernity, gender relations and power struggles.

Data Presentation and Analysis

The data is presented and analyzed according to the three Meta functions. The study analyzes how Halliday's three Meta functions-ideational, interpersonal and

textual shape Soyinka's presentation of cultural conflict, power relation and identify negotiation.

Ideational Meta function

The ideational Meta function refers to how language represents reality through process, participants and circumstances (Halliday and Matthiessen, 2014). In *The Lion and the Jewel*, Soyinka uses this Meta function to dramatize the cultural conflict between African literature and Western modernity, giving each character a distinct way of representing experience. For instance, Lakunle, the school teacher, encodes tradition as backward through material and relational processes. This is seen when he talks on the subject of payment of bride price where he asserts:

> To pay the price would be to buy a heifer off the market
> Stall (Act one, p. 8)

The material process "to buy" reduces marriage to crude transaction, while the metaphor "a heifer" making women objects, presenting tradition as oppressive. Lakunle also declares:

> I read and write and speak and think like a man of books. I am
> a symbol of progress. (Act one, p.9)

The relational process, "I am" links his identity to "progress" constructing him ideationally as representative of modernity. Sidi, by contrast, encodes cultural pride through affirmative relational processes. When mocked for carrying water on her head. She insists:

> It is what a grown girl does. It is how she shows she is fit to be
> a wife". (Act one, p.12)

The relational clause "It is" validates the action, while the material process "she shows" positions her as a demonstration of maturity. Unlike Lakunle who disparages custom, Sidi reframes tradition as honorable.

Baroka, the Bale of Ilujinle, asserts himself ideationally as protector of culture through material processes of resistance. This is seen where he boasts:

> I stopped the Government Public Works. I sabotaged their surveyors.
> There will be no railway through Ilujinle (Act Two, p. 25)

The verbs "stopped" and "sabotaged" foreground his agency in blocking colonial intrusion, while the circumstance "through Ilujimle" underscores territorial control. In his seduction of Sidi, Baroka redefines himself as an agent of density:

> I will make you immortal, more famous than the lorry that passes

through the village. (Act Two, p.27)

The material process "I will make", projects his power to shape Sidi's future, blending traditional authority with modern imagery. Through his varied uses of the ideational Meta function, Soyinka dramatizes how grammar encodes conflicting world views. The ideational analysis shows how Soyinka encodes competing worldviews into character's language such as Lakunle's modernist disdain for tradition, Sidi's negotiation of cultural pride and Baroka's self-styled heroism.

Interpersonal Meta function

The interpersonal Meta function explores language, enacts social relationships, attitudes and power through moods, modality and functions (Halliday and Matthiessen, 2014). The play, *The Lion and the Jewel*, reveals how authority is negotiated, challenged and reasserted. Lakunle, Baroka and Sidi assume authority through declaratives, especially when the three voices are set side by side, the tug-of-war of persuasion comes alive.

Lakunle, Baroka and Sidi represent three distinct interpersonal strategies that reflect the play's larger tension. Lakunle speaks from a position of didactic authority. His declaratives and high morality project certainty, yet his rigidity often alienate his audience. Lakunle's speech is characterized by declaratives and high modality, which project certainty and position him as a self-appointed reformer. He frames his ideas as unquestionable truths, often by judging tradition as "backward" while presenting himself as the voice of progress. His interpersonal stance is didactic and authoritative, reducing his interlocutors, especially women like Sidi and Sadiku to passive listeners. However, this rigid posture undermines his persuasive power as it alienates rather than convinces his audience, exposing the gap between his modern ideals and his social reality.

Similarly, Baroka's interpersonal stance relies on tact, charm and rhetorical subtlety. Unlike Lakunle, he cloaks authority in humanity, softening commands into suggestions like, "I hope you will not think it too great of a burden..." (p.51). This allows him to appear considerate while maintaining control. His language appeals to emotion, comfort and continuity, persuading not by confrontation but by seduction. This manipulative diplomacy reveals tradition's adaptive resilience, as Baroka wins influence not by declaring change but by skillfully disguising his dominance within seemingly modest speech as seen in Act Three.

Sidi, the center of attraction in this play, displays her resistance and playful irony. In her reply to Lakunle, she says "Now there you go again. And you must chirrup like a cocktail" (p.7). She trivializes his lofty profession of love by likening it to meaningless bird chatter. This ironic evaluation strips his words of seriousness and repositions her as the one in control of the exchange. His interpersonal style is neither didactic nor seductively manipulative like Baroka's. It is witty, mocking and deflective. Through humor and dismissal, she asserts her independence, resisting attempts at domination while enjoying the power of her own beauty and voice.

In *The Lion and the Jewel*, the interpersonal strategies of Lakunle, Baroka and Sidi thematically dramatize the clash between morality and tradition and youthful agency. Lakunle's declarative certainty reflects modernity's promise of change but also its alienating rigidity, as he imposes progress without regard for communal identity. Baroka's persuasive humility embodies traditions, resilience, using charm and subtle manipulation to outmaneuver modern ideals while maintaining dominance. Sidi, through playful irony and mockery asserts a youthful independence that resists both men, symbolizing the emergence of female agency within the cultural struggle. Together, their contrasting stances reveal that the contest between modernity and tradition is not only ideological but also interpersonal, negotiating through language, wit and power. Here, Soyinka suggests that questions of progress, ability and gender are inseparable from the communicative strategies through which they are performed and contested.

Textual Meta function

The textual Meta function concerns how meaning is organized through thematic structure and cohesion (Eggins, 2004). In Soyinka's *The Lion and the Jewel*, thematic progression reflects ego, irony and character transformation. In *The Lion and the Jewel*, the statement:

> A prophet has honor except in his own home. Wise men
> have been called mad before me and after... (Act One. p.5).

The clauses are arranged proverbially, using parallelism-A prophet has.../Wise men have... (Act One, p.5) to give rhythm and balance, which makes the utterance memorable and authoritative. The use of Theme-Rhyme progression ensures clarity. "A prophet" and "Wise man" serve as themes (what the clause is all about),

while the rest of each clause provides the Rheme (the new, important information). Additionally, the connective phrase "before me and after" provides cohesion, linking the present situation with past and future contexts. The proverbial and biblical tone also reinforces textual unity, making the statement resonate both in the play and in broader cultural discourse. The textual Meta function here, organizes the speech into a coherent, rhythmical and culturally weighted proverb, ensuring that the message is stylistically powerful and contextually meaningful. The message is structured and organized to create coherence and emphasis.

Similarly, in Act One, p.10, Sidi asks one of the girls if they had seen the book, "The book. Did you see the book..." is an utterance with the initial thematic element, "The book"? It foregrounds the objects of discourse, setting it up as the key point of attention in the interaction. The repetition, "Did you see the book..." provides cohesion and emphasis, ensuring that the discourse revolves around the symbolic importance of literacy. This organization of theme and rheme structures the utterance as both a question and subtle assertion of value. The book symbolizes knowledge and modernity and through this line, Soyinka encodes a layered discourse of a cultural tension, social power and identity. Sidi mistakenly refers to the magazine as a book because of her lack of formal education and limited exposure to print culture. This mislabeling is significant because it reveals how the limits of her literacy shape her perception of modern print culture. Furthermore, in Act Three, p.64, Sidi begs Sadiku to bless her using the following phrase, "Now bless my worldly goods. Come sing of seeds..." as a sign of respect since, Sadiku is Baroka's first wife. The discourse is cohesively structured through parallel imperatives, "bless" and "sing" foregrounding ritualistic rhythm. The initial adverb "Now" serves as a thematic marker, signaling immediacy and urgency, while "worldly goods" and seeds are the thematic focal points that tie wealth to continuity. The textual organization reflects Soyinka's blending of material concerns with symbolic-cultural significance. This fuses ritual, authority and symbolism. Worldly possessions are sanctified and agricultural fertility is celebrated revealing Soyinka's dramatization of power continuity and cultural identity.

Beyond the Meta functional analysis, it is also necessary to acknowledge the pragmatic and multimodal implications of meaning-making in *The Lion and the Jewel*. Pragmatically, Soyinka's characters rely on implied meanings,

presuppositions and culturally grounded inferences that demand and shape how audience interpret character's motives and cultural values. For instance, Sidi's rhetorical question to Lakunle- "Why should that worry me? Haven't you sworn that my books do not affect your love?" (p.2). This is a rhetorical question not seeking for an answer; it is an indirect assertion, which she frames as a challenge to Lakunle, implying, "You must stand by your words". Pragmatically, the statement means "I do not need to worry about my beauty fading because your love is supposed to be deeper than appearances and I am reminding you of that". Likewise, Baroka's seductive assurance that the making of the stamp, "The one redeeming grace on any paper-tax, shall be your face and mine". Baroka's utterances to Sidi are not merely interpersonal exchanges but pragmatic strategies of persuasion and manipulation, relying on context for their full force.

In addition, Soyinka displays multimodal resources gesture, costume, mime music and props that complement and enrich the verbal text. Lakunle's ill-fitting European suit visually encodes like awkward imitation of modernity while Sidi's public exhibition of her photographs dramatizes her vanity and foreshadows her seduction. Similarly, Baroka's palace adored with traditional symbols, establishes him as custodian of heritage even before he speaks. These multimodal cues construct layers of meanings that cannot be derived from a dialogue alone, making the play a semiotic ensemble where language, performance and visual codes interact to dramatize cultural conflicts.

Conclusion

Firstly, the study concludes that the analysis not only deepens our understanding of Soyinka's play but also highlights the broader relevance of SFL for analyzing how literature reflects and shapes cultural values, identity and power relation. Secondly, it concludes that Halliday's Meta functions remain a powerful analytic model indicating that language encodes social, cultural and ideological meanings in literary texts. Thirdly, it concludes that pragmatic insights and multimodal perspective extend the reach of SFL thereby accounting for contextual and performative dimension of drama.

REFERENCES

Adejare, O. (1992). *Language and style in Nigeria English Literature*. Lagos: Heinemann.

Ansary, H. and Babaii, E. (2004). "The Generic Integrity of Newspaper Editorials: A Systemic
Functional Perspective." In *Asian EFL Journal* Vol.1-1-28.

Bloor, T. and Bloor, M. (2013). *The Functional Analysis of English*. London: Routledge.

Halliday, M.A.K. (1994). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar (2nd ed)*.London: Arnold.

Halliday, M.A.K. and Matthiessen, C. (2004). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*
(3rd ed.) London: Arnold.

Halliday, M.A.K. and Matthiessen, C. (2014). *Introduction to Functional Grammar* (4th ed). Oxon: Routledge.

Eggins, S. (2004). *An Introduction to Systemic Functional Linguistics*. London: London
Pinter Publishers.

Olateju, M.A. (2006). "A Systemic Functional analysis of some selected poems songs in Osundare's *Songs of the Marketplace*". In *Nordic Journal of African Studies*, 15(1), 30-46.

Soyinka, W. (1963). *The Lion and the Jewel*. London: Oxford University Press.